

Lecture 17

April 3, 2014

Last time:

- Lyapunov Theory for LTI Systems
- LaSalle's Inv. Principle for LTI Sys.

Today:

- Stability via Linearization
- Integrator Backstepping

1F Setup: $\dot{x} = Ax$

$$V(x) = x^T P x$$

$$\dot{V}(x) = -x^T Q x \leq 0$$

$\downarrow C^T C$

$$= -y^T(t) \cdot y(t) \equiv 0$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t) =$$
$$= C e^{At} \cdot x_0 \equiv 0$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} C e^{At} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ C e^{kT} x_0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} e^{At} x_0 = 0$$

Linearization

$$\dot{x} = f(x), \quad f(0) = 0$$



$$\dot{x} = Ax + g(x) \quad (*)$$

Assume A : Hurwitz

Show $\bar{x} = 0$ of $(*)$ is locally asymptotically stable

$$\left(\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|g(x)\|}{\|x\|} \Rightarrow 0 \right)$$

A : Hurwitz \Rightarrow ^{linear} Lyapunov function will show local stability of Nonlinear

Prove: A : Hurwitz \Rightarrow For any $Q = Q^T > 0$
there is $P = P^T > 0$
s.t.
 $A^T P + P A = -Q$

$V(x) = x^T P x$ is a Lyapunov Function
for $\dot{x} = Ax$

Attempt to use $V(x) = x^T P x$ as a
Lyapunov Function for $(*)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V} &= \dot{x}^T P x + x^T P \dot{x} = \\
&= [Ax + g(x)]^T P x + x^T P [Ax + g(x)] = \\
&= x^T [A^T P + P A] x + 2 x^T P g(x) \\
&= \underbrace{-x^T Q x + 2 x^T P g(x)} \leq -x^T Q x + 2 \|x\| \cdot \underbrace{\|P\| \|g\|}_{\text{induced norm}}
\end{aligned}$$

Fact: ~~$x^T Q x$~~

$$\lambda_{\min}(Q) \|x\|^2 \leq x^T Q x \leq \lambda_{\max}(Q) \|x\|_2^2$$

$$\leq -\lambda_{\min}(Q) \|x\|^2 + 2 \|x\| \|P\| \|g\| =$$

$$= -\|x\|_2^2 \left(+\lambda_{\min}(Q) - \underbrace{2 \|P\| \frac{\|g(x)\|}{\|x\|}} \right)$$

We can define $\epsilon + \delta$

$$\text{s.t. } 2 \|P\| \frac{\|g(x)\|}{\|x\|} < \lambda_{\min}(Q)$$

~~scribbled out text~~

Back Stepping

Consider $\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u$

x : State

u : Control ($u(t) \in \mathbb{R}$)

Assume there is $u = \alpha(x)$

Assumption ①

Such that $\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)\alpha(x)$

and $\bar{x} = 0$ is GAS.

Also let $\underbrace{V(x) > 0}_{\text{on } \mathbb{R}^n}$, radially unbounded

$$\text{Such that } \dot{V} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \cdot \dot{x} =$$

$$= \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} [f(x) + g(x)\alpha(x)] =$$

$$= -W(x) < 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n$$

Ex

$$\dot{x} = x^3 + u$$

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$$

$$\dot{V}(x) = x\dot{x} = x(x^3 + u)$$

Choose $u = -x^3 - kx$; $k > 0$

↳ Any odd nonlinearity

$$\dot{V} = -kx^2 < 0$$

~~Ex~~ $\dot{x} = x^3 + \zeta$

$\dot{\zeta} = u \Rightarrow$ there is an integrator between original Controller

Consider: $\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x) \cdot \zeta$

and $\dot{\zeta} = u$

(+) there exists $V(x)$ s.t. assumption

(1) holds

Q: Can we find $u = \beta(x, \zeta)$ s.t.

$\left. \begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x) + g(x) \zeta \\ \dot{\zeta} &= \beta(x, \zeta) \end{aligned} \right\}$ has a G.A. So Eqpt. @ $(\bar{x}, \bar{\zeta}) = (0, 0)$?

~~Ex~~ $\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \dot{x} + \zeta \\ \dot{\zeta} &= u \end{aligned}$

Step 1

$V_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^2$

$\dot{V}_1 = x \dot{x} = x(x^3 + \zeta) = x(x^3 + \alpha(x)) = -W(x) < 0$

E.g. $\alpha(x) = -x^3 - k_1 x$
 $k > 0$

Step 2

Augment $V_1(x)$ with $\frac{1}{2} (\zeta - \alpha(x))^2$
 $\frac{1}{2} z^2$

$z := \zeta - \alpha(x)$

$\dot{z} = \dot{\zeta} - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} x = u - \dot{\alpha}$

$= u - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} [x^3 + \zeta]$

$$V_2(x, z) = V_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}z^2$$

$$\dot{V}_2 = \dot{V}_1 + z \dot{z}$$

$$= x(x^3 + \dot{\alpha}) + z(u - \dot{\alpha})$$

$$= x(x^3 + \alpha(x) + z) + z(u - \dot{\alpha})$$

$$= -W_1(x) + z(u - \dot{\alpha} + x)$$

"Cancellation" Control

$$u = \left[\dot{\alpha} \quad -x - k_2 z \right] \text{ gives:}$$

$$\dot{V}_2 = -W_1(x) - k_2 z^2$$